

Cherwell District Council

Planning Committee

21 May 2015

Decisions Subject to Various Requirements - Progress Report

Report of Head of Development Management

This report is public

Purpose of report

This report aims to keep members informed upon applications which they have authorised decisions upon to various requirements which must be complied with prior to the issue of decisions.

An update on any changes since the preparation of the report will be given at the meeting.

1.0 Recommendations

The meeting is recommended:

- 1.1 To accept the position statement.

2.0 Report Details

The following applications remain outstanding for the reasons stated:

| | |
|--|---|
| 10/00640/F (re-affirmed 24.5.12) | Former USAF housing South of Camp Road, Upper Heyford Subject to legal agreement concerning on and off site infrastructure and affordable housing. May be withdrawn following completion of negotiations on 10/01642/OUT |
| 13/00330/OUT (6.3.14) | 81-89 Cassington Road Yarnton Subject to legal agreement |

| | |
|--|--|
| 13/00433/OUT (11.7.13) | Land at Whitelands Farm, Middleton Stoney Road, Bicester Subject to legal agreement concerning on-site and off-site infrastructure |
| 13/00444/OUT (11.7.13) | Land west of Edinburgh Way, Banbury Subject to legal agreement concerning on-site and off-site infrastructure |
| 13/00847/OUT (7.8.14) | Phase 2 SW Bicester Subject to legal agreement re infrastructure contributions |
| 13/01372/CDC (6.2.14 and 24.4.14) | Land rear of Methodist Church, The Fairway, Banbury Subject to legal agreement re affordable housing |
| 13/01601/OUT (6.2.14) and (7.8.14) | Land adj. Spiceball Park Road, Banbury Revised proposal received late May 2014 – reconsultation and return to Committee) Subject to reference to Sec. of State and legal agreement re off-site infrastructure contributions following discussions with OCC re highways and parking |
| 13/01796/OUT (6.3.14) | Land N of Oak View, Weston on the Green Subject to legal agreement – completion of agreement expected early April - Issued |
| 13/01811/OUT | Land at Dow Street, Heyford Park, Upper Heyford Subject to legal agreement with CDC/OCC |
| 14/01207/OUT (2.10.14) | KM22, SW3 Bicester, Middleton Stoney Rd. Bicester Subject to legal agreement for affordable housing, and on-site provision and off-site infrastructure contributions |

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 14/00066/OUT (30.10.14) | Land N of Hanwell Fields, Banbury Subject to legal agreement for affordable housing, and on-site provision and off-site infrastructure contributions |
| 14/00962/OUT (27.11.14) | Land S of High Rock, Hook Norton Rd. Sibford Ferris Subject to legal agreement to secure the affordable housing |
| 14/10205/Hybrid (18.12.14) | Springfield Farm, Ambrosden Subject to legal agreement to tie in previous agreement |
| 14/01384/OUT (19.3.15) | Bicester Eco-Town Subject to legal agreement for affordable housing, and on-site provision and off-site infrastructure contributions |
| 14/01737/OUT (19.2.15) | The Paddocks, Chesterton Subject to legal agreement to secure infrastructure contributions and affordable housing |
| 14/01743/F (18.12.14) | Land E of Deene Close, Adderbury Subject to legal agreement re of-site infrastructure |
| 14/01762/F (19.3.15) | Swalcliffe Park, Equestrian, Grange Lane, Swalcliffe Subject to finalisation of the noise management plan |
| 14/01482/OUT (27.11.14) | Banbury AAT Academy, Ruskin Road, Banbury Subject to legal agreement tying in previous agreement to this permission |
| 14/01843/OUT (19.2.15) | Land W of Great Bourton Subject to legal agreement to secure infrastructure contributions and affordable housing |
| 15/00082/OUT (16.4.15) | Site of Tesco, Pingle Drive, Bicester Subject to (i) referral to Sec of State and (ii) subject to applicant entering into legal agreement re employment and skills plan and relating to previously agreed off-site highway works |

3.0 Consultation

None

4.0 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below

Option 1: To accept the position statement

Option 2: Not to accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information only

5.0 Implications

Financial and Resource Implications

The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.

Comments checked by:

Kate Crussell, Service Accountant, 01327 322188,
Kate.Crussell@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

Legal Implications

There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.

Comments checked by:

Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning / Deputy Monitoring Officer, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

Risk Management

This is a monitoring report where no additional action is proposed. As such there are no risks arising from accepting the recommendation.

Comments checked by:

Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning / Deputy Monitoring Officer, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

6.0 Decision Information

Wards Affected

All

Links to Corporate Plan and Policy Framework

A district of opportunity

Lead Councillor

None

Document Information

| Appendix No | Title |
|----------------------------|--|
| None | |
| Background Papers | |
| None | |
| Report Author | Bob Duxbury, Development Control Team Leader |
| Contact Information | 01295 221821 bob.duxbury@cherwell-dc.gov.uk |